NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AMBER VENCILL, as mother and natural guardian of J.V., an infant under the age of sixteen and R.V., an infant under the age of sixteen, and AMBER VENCILL, individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendant.

Index No. Date Purchased

SUMMONS

Plaintiff(s) designate(s) New York County as the place of trial.

The basis of venue is designation of New York County by defendant.

To the above named Defendant(s):

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Notice of Electronic Filing (See Rider Annexed)

Dated: New York, New York October 31, 2023 JAROSLAWICZ & JAROS PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff 225 Broadway, 24th Floor New York, New York 10007

(212) 227-2780

dj@lawjaros.com

By: _

David Jaroslawicz

Defendant(s) address(es):

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. c/o Robert Isom, Corporate Secretary 1 Skyview Drive, MD8B503 Fort Worth, TX 76155

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

Rider to Summons

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (Mandatory Case)

(Uniform Rule § 202.5-bb)

You have received this Notice because:

- 1) The Plaintiff/Petitioner, whose name is listed above, has filed this case using the New York State Courts e-filing system ("NYSCEF"), and
- 2) You are a Defendant/Respondent (a party) in this case.
- If you are represented by an attorney:

Give this Notice to your attorney. (Attorneys: see "Information for Attorneys" [below]).

• If you are not represented by an attorney:

You will be served with all documents [on] paper and you must serve and file your documents [on] paper, unless you choose to participate in e-filing.

If you choose to participate in e-filing, you <u>must</u> have access to a computer and a scanner or other device to convert documents into electronic format, a connection to the Internet, and an e-mail address to receive service of documents.

The benefits of participating in e-filing include:

- · serving and file your documents electronically
- · free access to view and print your e-filed documents
- · limiting your number of trips to the courthouse
- paying any court fees on-line (credit card needed)

To register for e-filing or [to obtain] more information about how e-filing works:

- visit: www.nycourts.gov/efile-unrepresented or
- contact the Clerk's Office or Help Center at the court where the case was filed. Court contact information www.nycourts.gov

To find legal information to help you represent yourself visit www.nycourthelp.gov

Information for Attorneys (E-filing is Mandatory for Attorneys)

An attorney representing a party who is served with this notice must either:

- 1) immediately record his or her representation within the e-filed matter on the NYSCEF site www.nycourts.gov/efile; or
- 2) file the Notice of Opt-Out form with the clerk of the court where this action is pending and serve on all parties. Exemptions from mandatory e-filing are limited to attorneys who certify in good faith that they lack the computer hardware and/or scanner and/or internet connection or that they lack (along with all employees subject to their direction) the knowledge to operate such equipment. [Section 202.5-bb(e)]

For additional information about electronic filing and to create a NYSCEF account, visit the NYSCEF website at www.nycourts.gov/efile or contact the NYSCEF Resource Center (phone: 646-386-3033; e-mail: nycef@nycourts.gov).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----X

AMBER VENCILL, as mother and natural guardian of J.V., an infant under the age of sixteen and R.V., an infant under the age of sixteen, and AMBER VENCILL, individually,

Index No.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendant.

Plaintiffs, by their attorneys, Jaroslawicz & Jaros PLLC, complaining of the defendant, upon information and belief, alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff is the mother and natural guardian of J.V., an infant under the age of sixteen.
- 2. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff is the mother and natural guardian of R.V., an infant under the age of sixteen.
- 3. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff and her children J.V. and R.V. reside in Jacksonville, Florida.
- 4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant is a foreign corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of State of Delaware, authorized to do business and doing business in the State of New York, with New York County as its designated county.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2023 03:43 PM INDEX NO. 160641/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

5. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant is an airline company, having flights to and in the United States, and the world.

6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant was and is a common carrier engaged in the business of transporting passengers for hire by air.

THE UNDERLYING FACTS

- 7. The two infant children live with their mother together with their mother's partner, Ted, in Jacksonville.
- 8. On July 30, 2022, the two infant plaintiffs were flying as unaccompanied minors with American Airlines from Missouri, where they had visited with the father, to the Syracuse, New York area to see Ted's family; see Exhibit A, trip confirmation and receipt.
- 9. The flight to Syracuse was not a direct flight, but had a connecting flight with a layover in Charlotte, North Carolina.
- 10. The original flight from Missouri to Charlotte was flight AA5302; the connecting flight from Charlotte to Syracuse was flight AA1976 (Exhibit A).
- 11. Plaintiff had purchased unaccompanied minor chaperone services from American Airlines for her two minor children J.V. and R.V.
 - 12. The flight from Missouri to Charlotte was delayed.
- 13. The flight from Charlotte to Syracuse was delayed several times before it was finally cancelled.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2023 03:43 PM INDEX NO. 160641/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

14. Someone on behalf of the defendant airline called Ted because he was the person listed as the pick-up person at Syracuse, and advised him that the children would be on a flight to Syracuse the next day July 31, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.

- 15. In the interim, defendant sent an email to the plaintiff mother at 11:38 p.m. saying the infant plaintiffs would be on a 5:21 p.m. flight on July 31, 2022.
- 16. Defendant advised Ted that the two children would be a in a nice room for unaccompanied minors where there were beds and their own bathroom.
- 17. Ted was also provided with a telephone number that was supposed to be a direct line to where the children would be.
- 18. Because of the conflicting information about the flight on July 31, 2022, plaintiff had no idea what flight her children would be on.
 - 19. When plaintiff called the purported direct line, there was no response.
- 20. Plaintiff, not being able to get in touch with anyone, had no idea where her children were; she was unable to reach anyone from the defendant.
- 21. Plaintiff finally reached someone who worked at the Charlotte Airport *not* for the defendant who was kind enough to put plaintiff in touch with one of her children.
- 22. The child informed plaintiff that they had not had anything to eat or drink since the night before, not even a pretzel or snacks that are usually given out by the airline.
- 23. The room was freezing, and the children spent the night on a sofa with the lights on.
 - 24. Apparently, the children had been placed in a lost children's room.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

25. The kind woman, who is not employed by the defendant, finally gave the infant children some food and drinks.

- 26. The children managed to board the flight to Syracuse where they were picked up by Ted.
- 27. Plaintiff emailed the Board of Directors of American Airlines as to what had occurred. No one responded except customer relations finally notified her that the defendant was terribly sorry and would refund \$150 that had been paid for a chaperone (Exhibit B).
- 28. Defendant touts their unaccompanied minor service on their website "we want your child to have a safe and positive trip." See, AA website Unaccompanied Minor Policy (Exhibit C).
- 29. Defendant promises "In the rare case that your child needs to stay overnight because of a missed connection, we'll arrange for overnight accommodations, meals and supervision. We'll call if this occurs " (Exhibit C).
- 30. According to AA's own customer relations staff they know that "Every day, parents express their highest degree of confidence in us by sending their children unaccompanied on our flights. Our in-flight personnel are carefully trained to provide supervision and warm and friendly attention to these young travelers, and we work closely with all our employees to provide them with a special sense of awareness of any child traveling alone" (Exhibit B).
- 31. Defendant violated their own policies and procedures when they misplaced plaintiff's children. Particularly offensive is that after learning of their clear failures,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

defendant merely offered a hollow apology and a refund, in essence telling plaintiff, sorry we lost your kids lady but here is your money back.

32. Upon information and belief, defendant undertook no investigation as to how

this occurred, how to avoid it in the future or how to improve the unaccompanied minor

service.

33. Such callous disregard for the well-being of plaintiff's children and for

plaintiff who was distraught for hours worrying about her children and received no

meaningful information as to her sons' whereabouts except that they were allegedly last

seen at Charlotte Airport is simply shameful and plaintiffs are entitled to punitive

damages.

34. The infant plaintiffs' travel itinerary pursuant to the contract of carriage

which forms the basis for this action was a trip from Missouri to Syracuse, New York with

a connecting flight/layover in Charlotte, North Carolina.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE INFANT PLAINTIFFS

35. Defendant, by its agents, servants, representatives and/or employees, was

reckless, careless, negligent and grossly negligence in failing to safeguard the infant

plaintiffs; in failing to properly supervise the infant plaintiffs; in losing the infant plaintiffs;

in storing the infant plaintiffs in the lost children's room where no one told the plaintiff

where they would be; in failing to follow defendant's own internal rules and policies; in

breaching the contract with plaintiff by failing to have an airport escort with the infant

plaintiffs; in failing to provide the infant plaintiffs with proper and adequate overnight

accommodations, meals and supervision; in lying to plaintiff that the infant plaintiffs

5

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

would be in a room with a bed and taken care of; in failing to provide food and water to the infant plaintiffs overnight; in failing to take the infant plaintiffs out of the lost children room to purchase food or drinks; in failing to provide the infant plaintiffs with any blankets, pillows or accommodations for an overnight stay; in failing to advise the plaintiff that her sons would be confined to a fully lit room overnight without a bed, food or water; in confining the infant plaintiffs to a cold room akin to a jail cell without food, water or a blanket; in failing to supervise the infant plaintiffs; in failing to have efficient and sufficient personnel; in failing to provide a working telephone contact for the infant plaintiffs; in providing a telephone number to plaintiff's family that was supposed to be a direct line to the infant plaintiffs which did not work; in failing to keep plaintiff informed as to the whereabouts of her sons for several hours; in cancelling a flight after 11:30 at night knowing there were unaccompanied minors who were supposed to make that connection to another destination; in failing to timely cancel the flight so other arrangements could be made for the infant plaintiffs instead delaying the flight five (5) times before it was inevitably canceled which any rational person could have predicted hours earlier; and

36. As a result of the defendant's negligence, the infant plaintiffs were caused to spend the night in a fully lit cold room on a sofa without any blankets, pillows or toiletries; have become afraid of flying and will only fly on a direct flight if necessary; fearful they would be lost or abandoned; emotional distress; ; the infant plaintiffs have been otherwise damaged, all of which damages are permanent in nature and continuing into the future.

defendant was otherwise reckless, careless and negligent.

6

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2023 03:43 PM INDEX NO. 160641/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

37. As a result of the foregoing, defendant is liable to pay full, fair and reasonable

damages to the infant plaintiffs.

38. By reason of the foregoing, infant plaintiffs are entitled to recover all damages

from the defendant including punitive damages for defendant's callous disregard for their

well-being.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF MOTHER

39. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each of the foregoing allegations

with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth at length herein.

40. Defendant was reckless, careless and negligence as stated herein.

41. As a result of defendant's negligence, plaintiff mother was caused to suffer

severe emotional distress; fearful her children had been injured, lost, kidnaped and/or

trafficked out of Charlotte to possibly a foreign country; caused unnecessary panic and

distress for hours; and plaintiff has been otherwise damaged, all of which damages are

permanent in nature and continuing into the future.

42. As a result of the foregoing, defendant is liable to pay full, fair and reasonable

damages to plaintiff.

43. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff is entitled to recover all damages from

the defendant, including punitive damages for defendant's callous disregard for the well-

being of plaintiff's children and for plaintiff who was distraught for hours worrying about

her children and received no meaningful information as to her sons' whereabouts except

that they were allegedly last seen at Charlotte Airport.

7

9 of 11

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF MOTHER AND THE INFANT PLAINTIFFS

44. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each of the foregoing allegations

with the same force and effect as if more fully set forth at length herein.

45. Defendant was reckless, careless and negligence as set forth above.

46. As a result of defendant's negligence, plaintiff and her children were caused

to suffer damages as set forth above.

47. By reason of the foregoing, plaintiff and her children are entitled to recover

all damages under common law, including punitive damages for defendant's callous

disregard for the well-being of plaintiff's children and for plaintiff who was distraught for

hours worrying about her children and received no meaningful information as to her sons'

whereabouts except that they were allegedly last seen at Charlotte Airport.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand judgment against the defendant, to recover for all

damages, all together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

JAROSLAWICZ & JAROS PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 225 Broadway, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10007

(212) 227-2780

Bv:

David Jaroslawicz

8

COUNTY CLERK 10/31/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 160641/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/31/2023

DAVID JAROSLAWICZ, a member of the firm of JAROSLAWICZ & JAROS PLLC,

attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the within action, duly admitted to practice in the Courts

of the State of New York, affirms the following statements to be true under the penalties

of perjury, pursuant to CPLR 2106(a).

That he has read the foregoing Complaint and knows the contents thereof; that the

same is true to his own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated to be alleged

upon information and belief, and that as to those matters, he believes them to be true.

Affiant further states that the source of his information and the grounds of his belief

are derived from the file maintained in the normal course of business of the attorneys for

the plaintiff(s).

Affiant further states that the reason this affirmation is not made by the plaintiff(s)

is that at the time this document was being prepared, the plaintiff(s) was (were) not within

the County of New York, which is the County where the attorney for the plaintiff(s) herein

maintains his office.

Dated: New York, New York

October 31, 2023

11 of 11